

09/940,156

Page 2 of 4

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

### In the Drawings:

None

### In the claims:

None

### In the Specification:

None

### Examiners Remarks:


Examiner has stated that amendment filed on 10/25/2002 is not supported by original disclosure. I believe examiner to be in error in that the original disclosure fully supports the amendment as filed without introducing new material.

Examiner has stated that no patent will be issued without a working model of claimed invention. This statement prevents any reasonable amendment from being successful. Applicant respectfully requests further information regarding examination of said invention. Such as where will the examination take place, who will continue the examination, and lastly who is responsible for the related expenses. As the examiner has said that such a request is policy I feel it only prudent that examiner should also cite applicable laws regarding this.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the specification and claims by the current amendment. The attached page is titled "Version with markings to show changes made."

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,  
Albert Sullivan

  
(219)886-7349

FAX RECEIVED

MAR 3 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

09/940,156

Page 3 of 4

**VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE**

**In the specification:**

None

**In the Drawings:**

None

**In the claims:**

None

FAX RECEIVED

MAR 3 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800